# VALUE ENGINEERING CHANGE PROPOSAL MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

	•			Date10-30-09
Contract ID	080725-401			Job No. <u>J4P1708</u>
County Cass		Route	71	Original Bid Cost \$10,115,665.68
Contractor	Ideker, Inc			By Dan Tarr
Designed By	Dan Tarr	1		Phone 816 215-3228
VECP#	09-98			VECP X or VECP/PDU □
	of existing requirements  8" Group C pine is to be			stages/Disadvantages under the North Cass Parkway Bridge to allow for drainag
We propose this	pipe and end sections be	eliminated. The	water can be d	irected to an existing drain inlet just north of the bridge. get adequate drainage to the north.
2. Estimate of r	eduction in construction	costs.	\$12,752	174
The second secon		,		epartment costs, such as maintenance and operations.
		医多种性染色体		
Elimination of the future) with guard	e pipe reduces future ma d rail posts being driven	intenance for Mo through the pipe	DOT forces. I Future replace	Elimination of the pipe reduces any conflicts (current or ement of the pipe becomes unnecessary.
		S. S		
	•			
A nticipated a	lata fau aulanittal -6 data			1.0.41. 104.6.04.0
4. Annoipaieu (	iale for submiliar of dela	ned change(s) of	nems required	by Section 104.6 of the Specifications.
	And the second of the second o		11/15/09	
			(date)	
5. Deadline for	issuing a change order to	o obtain maximu	m cost reduction	n, noting the effect of contract completion time or delivery
schedule.				
•				
11/15/09	* *	No effect on co	ontract completi	on date
*	(date)			(effect)
*	(uate)			(circl)
б. Dates of any	previous or concurrent s	ubmission of the	same proposal.	
				and the second of the second o
N/	<b>/A</b>			<del>-                                    </del>
	医静脉系统 医多型抗菌素	((	late and/or dat	es)

Computation of cost savings =  $[267 \text{ ft. Group C (15 in.) pipe} + 2 \text{ each 18 in. safety slope end sections}] - [Class A excavation] = <math>[(267 \text{ ft.}) (43.06 \text{ s/ft.}) + 2 \text{ ea.} (901.86 \text{ s/ea.})] - [(200 \text{ CY}) (2.74 \text{ s/CY})] = \underline{\$ 12.752.74}$ 

Note; there was an error in the plans and the 15 in pipe listed above should have been 18 in pipe. These numbers used above are those set up for this location (Sta. 269+70; Rte 71)

\*\* Portion Below This Line To Be Filled Out by MoD()T \*\*

Comments: We agree with the proposal and recommend it be approved. The drain inlet is located approximately 100 for the new safety slope end section. We estimate the fill material would need to be approximately two to the drainage back to the inlet. This is nearly the height of the planned fill for the pipe. We see no adverse safe associated with approval of this VE.  We currently have concrete traffic barriers along the inside shoulders of 71 hwy. We'd like to expedite this eventually remove the barriers. This will provide additional safety and assist in snow removal efforts.	hree feet high to achieve ety or drainage issues
Paul J. Russ	10-30-09
Submitted By Resident Engineer	Date
Comments: I CONCUR WITH THE RECOMMEN	DATIONS
OF THE R.E.	•
Approval Recommended  Approval Recommended	11/2/09
Rejection Recommended District Engineer	Date
Comments: Affliched PIR DISTRICT RECOMMENDATION 5050 5PL17.	~ 47
Approval David David David Dysto	1173-09
Rejection State Construction and Materials Engineer	Date

Distribution:

Resident Engineer, Project Manager, District Operations Engineer, State Construction and Materials Engineer \*Value Engineering Administrator - \*MoDOT, P.O. Box 270, Jefferson City, MO 65102

Jeffrey J Hardy/D4/MODOT 10/30/2009 04:37 PM To Paul J Russ/D4/MODOT@MODOT

cc Perry J Allen/D4/MODOT@MODOT

bcc

Subject Re: Request for approval of VE proposal

Paul,

I am fine with this proposal since they believe they can get the drainage to work without this pipe.

Jeffrey J. Hardy, P.E. Project Manager MoDOT District 4 600 NE Colbern Rd Lee's Summit, MO 64086

816-622-6570 Office 816-622-0461 Fax Paul J Russ/D4/MODOT

#### Paul J Russ/D4/MODOT

10/30/2009 02:24 PM

To Perry J Allen/D4/MODOT@MODOT

cc Jeffrey J Hardy/D4/MODOT@MODOT

Subject Request for approval of VE proposal



SSERBERGE POST DE LA COLO DE LA COLO DE PORTO DE LA RESENTACIÓN DE LA RESENTACIÓN DE LA COLOR DE COLOR

I've attached Ideker's request for VE for your review and approval. I've also copied Jeff Hardy for his review. So it will still need to be reviewed by Dave Ahlvers and the VE Administrator.



VE median drainage.doc

I believe everything is self-explanatory.

We are eager to get this approved so we can work towards getting the concrete traffic barriers out of the 71 hwy, median.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks, Paul

Contract ID: 080725-401		Fed St/Pr Prj NI	Fed St/Pr Prj Nbr: FAF-71-4(103)			
Status: Active	and the second s	Primary PCN: J4P1708				
District: 04	OrgCode: 4CJ		Park or entanger	Funding		
Progress Sched:		Variance Pct:	.00	Federal State/Province		
Desc: J4P1708 - ROU	TE 71 - CASS COUNTY	No. of the Control of		Both		
Time Charges: COMPLETION I	DATE	Bid Days: 771	Bid Amt:	\$10,115,665.68		
Contract Type: Construction Pro	oject Above \$500,000		[	Fed Oversight		
Work Type: NEW CONSTRU	JCTION	- BACK MEN STATE COMMAN	(	Local Oversight		
		Proposal Fu	nd Type:	No. of the contract of the con		
Spec Yr: 1996	Unit System: English	Suppl Spec Bk Yr: 1998	Alt ID:	The second secon		
			٠			
			. •			
			. " "			
			*			
			As est est			
				·		
				•		
		•				
	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A					

# VALUE ENGINEERING CHECK SHEET

### TYPE OF WORK

(Check one that applies)

- □ Bridge/Structure/Footings
- X Drainage Structures (RCP, RCB, CMP's, ect.)
- □ TCP/MOT
- □ Paving (PCCP, ect.)
- □ Grading/MSE Walls
- □ Signal/Lighting/ITS
- □ Misc. \_\_\_\_\_

SUM	MAR	Y OF	PROF	POSAL
-----	-----	------	------	-------

(If needed, condense summary to a couple of lines)

			-		
Modify gradin	ng to eliminate the n	need for a new o	drainage struc	cture.	

## SCANNING OF DOCUMENT

If the proposal is large, please mark or make note, which pages need to be scanned into the database.	If
there are special instructions, make note of them here.	

Scan entire doc	ument.		